

1 2 3 4 5 6	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney ADRIAN T. KINSELLA Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900 Attorneys for Plaintiff		
7	United States of America		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:20-cr-00181-JAM	
12 13	Plaintiff, v.	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; FINDINGS AND ORDER	
14 15 16	MICHAEL GARCIA and NANCY DALILA GARCIA ESCOBAR, Defendants.	DATE: June 27, 2023 TIME: 9:00 a.m. COURT: Hon. John A. Mendez	
17	STIPU	LATION	
18	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on June 27, 2023. ECF No. 117.		
19	2. By this stipulation, defendants now move to continue the status conference until		
20	September 26, 2023 , at 09:00 a.m., and to exclu	nde time between June 27, 2023, and September 26,	
21	2023, under Local Code T4.		
22	3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:		
23	a) The government has repres	sented that the discovery associated with this case	
24	includes over 50 gigabytes of evidence in electronic form, including multiple hours of covert		
25	recordings, pictures, investigative reports, and related documents. All of this discovery has been		
26	either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.		
27	b) Counsel for defendants require additional time to review the discovery,		
28	investigate any possible defenses, research potential pretrial motions, explore potential		
	CTIDUL ATION DECADDING EVOLUDADLE TO C	1	

resolutions to the case, and otherwise prepare for trial. The parties are continuing their efforts on plea negotiations. Finally, counsel for defendants need additional time to prepare mitigation materials.

- c) Counsel for defendants believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny them the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of June 27, 2023 to September 26, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

17 | . 18 |

Case 2:20-cr-00181-JAM Document 119 Filed 06/22/23 Page 3 of 3

1	4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the		
2	Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial		
3	must commence.		
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
5			
6	Dated: June 21, 2023	PHILLIP A. TALBERT	
7		United States Attorney	
8		/s/ ADRIAN T. KINSELLA	
9		ADRIAN T. KINSELLA Assistant United States Attorney	
10		, and the second	
11	Dated: June 21, 2023	/s/ HOOTAN BAIGMOHAMMADI HOOTAN BAIGMOHAMMADI	
12		Counsel for Defendant MICHAEL GARCIA	
13			
14	Dated: June 21, 2023	/s/ MICHAEL D. LONG	
15		MICHAEL D. LONG Counsel for Defendant	
16		NANCY GARCIA	
17			
18	ORDER		
19	IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDE	RED.	
20	Dated: June 21, 2023	/s/ John A. Mendez	
21	Dated. June 21, 2023	THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ	
22		SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
_0			